

# Embedding a Function into a Haar Space

R. A. ZALIK

*Division of Mathematics, Department of Algebra, Combinatorics and Analysis,  
Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama 36849-5307, U.S.A.*

*Communicated by E. W. Cheney*

Received June 16, 1986; revised October 4, 1986

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let  $M$  be a set of real numbers having at least  $n$  elements and let  $f(t)$  be a real valued function defined on  $M$ . Assume  $n \geq 2$ ; then a sequence  $S = \{x_i; i = 1, \dots, n\}$  of elements of  $M$  is called a strong (weak) alternation of  $f$  of length  $n$  if and only if the following conditions hold.

$$x_1 < \dots < x_n \tag{1}$$

and either  $(-1)^i f(x_i)$  is positive (nonnegative) for all  $i$ , or  $(-1)^i f(x_i)$  is negative (nonpositive) for all  $i$ . The same sequence  $S$  is called a strong (weak) oscillation of  $f$  of length  $n$  if and only if (1) holds and either  $(-1)^i [f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})]$  is positive (nonnegative) for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ , or  $(-1)^i [f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})]$  is negative (nonpositive) for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ .

Let  $U$  be an  $n$ -dimensional linear space of real valued functions defined on  $M$  and assume that  $M$  has at least  $n + 1$  elements. We say that  $U$  is a Haar space provided that the only element of  $U$  that has a weak alternation of length  $n + 1$  is the zero function. It is well known that  $U$  is a Haar space if and only if for any basis  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  of  $U$ ,  $\det[f_i(x_j); i, j = 1, \dots, n]$  has constant (and nonzero) sign for all sets  $\{x_i; i = 1, \dots, n\}$  of points of  $M$  that satisfy (1) (cf. Zielke, [1; Lemma 3.1]). A basis of a Haar space is called a Čebyšev system.

In [2], Kurshan and Gopinath proved that if  $f(t)$  is a function with a weak alternation of length  $n$  but with no weak alternation of length  $n + 1$ , it can be embedded into an  $n$ -dimensional Haar space, i.e., that there is an  $n$ -dimensional Haar space containing  $f$ . They also raised the question of whether this result holds in the continuous case, i.e., whether if  $f$  is continuous then it can be embedded into a Haar space of continuous functions. Haverkamp and Zielke settled this question in the negative in [3] by showing that the function  $g(t) = t^3 [1 + (t/2) + \cos(\pi/t)]$ ,  $t > 0$ ,

$g(0) = 0$ , cannot be embedded into a Haar space of continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$ . What makes this example even more remarkable is that  $g(t)$  is continuously differentiable.

The question naturally arises as to what are the necessary and sufficient conditions for a continuous function to be embeddable into a Haar space of continuous functions. A clue can be obtained by noticing that the function  $g(t)$  defined in the preceding paragraph has strong oscillations of arbitrary length. In fact, we have

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $M$  be an open interval and assume that  $f(t)$  is continuous in  $M$ . Then the following propositions are equivalent:*

a.  *$f(t)$  can be embedded into an  $n$ -dimensional Haar space of continuous functions.*

b. *There is a strictly positive and continuous function  $w(t)$  on  $M$  such that  $f(t)/w(t)$  has no weak oscillation of length  $n + 1$  on  $M$ .*

We call  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  a Markov system (or a complete Čebyšev system), if and only if  $\{f_1, \dots, f_i\}$  is a Čebyšev system for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . A Markov system is called normalized if and only if  $f_1 \equiv 1$ . (Note: Zielke ([1]) uses the terms "normed" or "1-normed.") Finally, the linear span of a (normalized) Markov system is called a (normalized) Markov space. Theorem 1 is a rather straightforward consequence of

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $M$  be an interval (open, closed or semiopen, and either bounded or unbounded), and assume that  $f(t)$  is continuous on  $M$ . Then the following propositions are equivalent:*

a.  *$f(t)$  can be embedded into an  $n$ -dimensional normalized Markov space of continuous functions.*

b.  *$f(t)$  has no weak oscillation of length  $n + 1$  on  $M$ .*

*Remark.* An inspection of the proof of Theorem 2 reveals that b is satisfied if  $f(t)$  is embedded in any  $n$ -dimensional Markov space, even if not all the functions in the space are continuous.

## 2. PROOFS

*Proof of Theorem 2.* If  $f(t)$  can be embedded into an  $n$ -dimensional normalized Markov space, then b follows from [1, Theorem 8.8].

Assume now that b is satisfied. It is then clear that  $f(t)$  is of bounded variation in any closed subinterval of  $M$ . For any interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$  contained in  $M$ , let  $V(f, \alpha, \beta)$  denote the total variation of  $f$  on  $[\alpha, \beta]$ . Let  $\xi$  be an arbitrary but fixed point in  $M$ , and define  $g(t)$  to equal  $V(f, \xi, t)$  if  $t \geq \xi$ ,

and  $-V(f, t, \xi)$  if  $t < \xi$ . Since  $f(t)$  is continuous it is clear that also  $g(t)$  is continuous. Moreover  $g(t)$  must be strictly increasing, otherwise  $f$  would be constant in some interval and, since  $f$  cannot have a weak oscillation of length  $n + 1$ , this would be a contradiction. It will thus suffice to prove the assertion for the function  $v(t) = f[(g^{-1}(t))]$ , whose domain is the interval  $I = g(M)$  and which clearly cannot have a weak oscillation of length  $n + 1$ .

By hypothesis,  $f$  has at most  $n - 2$  local extrema in  $(\inf M, \sup M)$ , say  $x_1 < \dots < x_p$ . With  $x_0 = -\infty$  and  $x_{p+1} = \infty$ , let  $M_i = (x_i, x_{i+1}) \cap M$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$ . Without loss of generality let  $(-1)^j f$  be strictly increasing on  $M_j$  for each  $j$ . Then  $v$  is a linear spline with knots in  $g(x_1), \dots, g(x_p)$  and derivative  $(-1)^j$  on  $g(M_j)$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, p$ , because for fixed  $k$  and  $t, u \in M_k$  one has  $v(g(u)) - v(g(t)) = f[g^{-1}(g(u))] - f[g^{-1}(g(t))] = f(u) - f(t) = (-1)^k [V(f, \xi, u) - V(f, \xi, t)] = (-1)^k [g(u) - g(t)]$ . It is therefore easy to see that there is a polynomial  $p(t)$ , of degree  $n - 2$ , such that  $\text{sign } p(t) = \text{sign } v'(t)$  on  $I$ , except at the points  $g(x_i)$ , where  $v'(t)$  is undefined. Setting  $w(t) = v'(t)/p(t)$  if  $t \neq g(x_i)$  and  $w(g(x_i)) = 1, i = 1, \dots, p$ , we see that  $v'(t) = w(t) p(t)$  on a set  $I_1$  that differs from  $I$  by a finite set of points  $\{x_i\}$ . It is also clear that  $w(t) > 0$  on  $I_1$ .

Let  $d$  be an arbitrary point in  $I, u_i(t) = w(t)t^i, y_0 \equiv 1$ , and for  $i = 1, \dots, n, y_i(t) = \int_d^t u_{i-1}(s) ds$ . Since  $v'(t) = w(t) p(t)$  on  $I_1, v(t)$  is clearly in the linear span of the functions  $y_i$ ; thus all we need to prove is that  $\{y_i; i = 0, \dots, n\}$  is a Markov system.

Note that if  $\{s_i; i = 0, \dots, n\}$  is a subset of  $I$ ,

$$\det[u_i(s_j); i, j = 0, \dots, k] = \left[ \prod_{i=0}^k w(s_i) \right] V(s_0, \dots, s_k),$$

where  $V(s_0, \dots, s_k)$  denotes the Vandermonde determinant.

Let  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . If  $\{t_i; i = 0, \dots, k\}$  is a subset of  $I$  such that  $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det[y_i(t_j); i, j = 0, \dots, k] &= \det[y_i(t_j) - y_i(t_{j-1}); i, j = 1, \dots, k] \\ &= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \dots \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \left[ \prod_{i=0}^k w(s_i) \right] V(s_0, \dots, s_k) ds_{k-1} \dots ds_0, \end{aligned}$$

whence the conclusion readily follows.

Q.E.D.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Assume  $a$  is satisfied and let  $U$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Haar space of continuous functions that contains  $f$ . From [4] or [5] we know that  $U$  has a basis that is a Markov system, say  $\{u_0, \dots, u_{n-1}\}$ .

Let  $w = u_0$ ,  $y_i = u_i/w$ , and  $g = f/w$ . Clearly  $g$  can be embedded in the normalized Markov space spanned by the system  $\{y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$ , and  $b$  follows from Theorem 2. The converse is a trivial consequence of Theorem 2.

Q.E.D.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. ZIELKE, "Discontinuous Čebyšev Systems," Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 707, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
2. R. P. KURSHAN AND B. GOPINATH, Embedding an arbitrary function into a Tchebycheff space, *J. Approx. Theory* **21** (1977), 126–142.
3. R. HAVERKAMP AND R. ZIELKE, On the embedding problem for Čebyšev systems, *J. Approx. Theory* **30** (1980), 155–156.
4. R. ZIELKE, On transforming a Tchebyshev-system into a Markov-system, *J. Approx. Theory* **9** (1973), 357–366.
5. R. A. ZALIK, On transforming a Tchebycheff system into a complete Tchebycheff system, *J. Approx. Theory* **20** (1977), 220–222.